

6

Anthropometric and physical fitness differences in talented vs untalented young soccer players

Alfredo Irurtia ^{1, 2, *}, Álex Cebrián-Ponce ^{1, 2}, Jorge Castizo-Olier ³, Manuel Vicente Garnacho-Castaño ⁴, Javier Espasa-Labrador ^{1, 2}, Zeasseska Noriega ^{1, 2}, Marta Carrasco-Marginet ^{1, 2}

¹ INEFC-Barcelona Sports Sciences Research Group, Institut Nacional d'Educació Física de Catalunya (INEFC), University of Barcelona (UB), 08038 Barcelona, Spain

² Catalan School of Kinanthropometry, National Institute of Physical Education of Catalonia (INEFC), University of Barcelona (UB), 08038 Barcelona, Spain

³ School of Health Sciences, Tecno Campus, Pompeu Fabra University, Mataró, 08302 Barcelona, Spain

⁴ Campus Docente Sant Joan de Déu, University of Barcelona (UB), 08034 Barcelona, Spain

*Corresponding Author: Alfredo Irurtia, Email: airurtia@gencat.cat

Abstract: Introduction: Identifying sports talent is an exciting and complex area of sports science, due to itsmultidimensional nature [1]. In soccer, there are veryfew scientific contributions about the anthropometric profile, motorskills, or physiological characteristics of children and/or adolescents [2]. Therefore, our aim was to provide information about anthropometric characteristicsand performance in some physical fitness tests commonly used. Methods: 722 male Chinese children (8.0to 13.9 years), from 6 competitive categories were stratified into 2 performance level groups (talented vs untalented), according to the opinion of their coaches. For each subject, twelve independent variables were assessed: chronologicalage (years), height (cm), body mass (kg), body mass index (kg/m2), squat jump(cm), counter movement jump(cm), Abalakov(cm), sprint 10 m (s), sprint 30 m(s), "repeated side-step"(n), sit & reach test (cm), forced vital capacity(L). Results: Significant differences were found between the talented (T) vs the untalented group (U) in the following tests: squat jump (T:27.6 ±5.1cm; U: 26.8 ±5.1cm; p=0.05), counter movement jump (T: 28.3 ±5.1cm; U: 27.3 ±5.2 cm; p=0.02), Abalakov (T: 32.5 ±6.1cm;U: 31.5 ±5.8 cm; p=0.04), sprint 10 m (T: 2.1 ±0.1 s; U: 2.2 ±0.1 s; p=0.01), sprint 30 m (T: 5.3 ±0.4 s; U: 5.4 ±0.4 s; p=0.001) and repeated side-step (T: 41.6 ±4.5n;U: 39.4 ±4.5 n; p=0.001). Non anthropometric differences were found. Conclusions: This resultsprovide relevant information on some basic anthropometriccharacteristics and physical skills of a large sample of young soccer players. However, the early development process of young talented athletes must necessarily include notonly the evaluation of physical, physiological, and technical skill components, but also thepsychological and sociological factors that longitudinally affect sports performance.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Rowat, O., Fenner, J., Unnithan, V. (2017). Technical and physical determinants of soccer match-play performance in elite youth soccer players. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 57(4), 369–379.

Unnithan, V., White, J., Georgiou, A., Iga, J., Drust, B. (2012). Talent identification in youth soccer. *J Sports Sci.* 30(15), 1719–1726.